arXiv:1603.01016v1 [math.CO] 3 Mar 2016

Nonlinear functions and difference sets on group actions

Yun Fan[1] and Bangteng Xu[2]

[1]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China

[2]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond, KY 40475, USA

Abstract

Let G, H be finite groups and let X be a finite G-set. G-perfect nonlinear functions from X to H have been studied in several papers. They have more interesting properties than perfect nonlinear functions from G itself to H. By introducing the concept of a (G,H)-related difference family of X, we obtain a characterization of G-perfect nonlinear functions on X. When G is abelian, we characterize a G-difference set of X by the Fourier transform on a normalized G-dual set $\widehat{X}$. We will also investigate the existence and constructions of G-perfect nonlinear functions and G-bent functions. Several known results in [2,6,10,17] are direct consequences of our results.

Key Words: G-perfect nonlinear functions; G-difference sets; (G,H)-related difference families; normalized G-dual sets; Fourier transforms

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B10, 05E18, 65T50, 94E18

1 Introduction

Perfect nonlinear functions are actively studied by many researchers (cf. [4,6,7,9–11,13,14,16,17]). They can be used to construct DES-like cryptosystems that are resistant to differential attacks. G-perfect nonlinear functions have been studied in several papers. They are natural generalizations of the classical perfect nonlinear functions with the XOR operations replaced by group actions, and have more interesting properties. They can also be used to construct generalized DES-like cryptosystems (where the XOR operation is replaced by a group action) that are resistant to modified differential attacks. For a summary of the background on cryptosystems and group action modifications, the reader is referred to [6]. Pott [14] mentioned that "It seems that in most applications (in particular in cryptography) people use nonlinear functions on finite fields. However, there is no technical reason why you should restrict yourselves to this case".

In their original research on G-bent and G-perfect nonlinear functions on a G-set X, Poinsot et al. [10,12] characterize these functions by Fourier transforms of functions on G. Davis et al. [6] characterize G-perfect nonlinear functions on a G-set X by G-difference sets of X, and construct G-perfect nonlinear functions by constructing G-difference sets, without using Fourier transforms at all. For a finite abelian group G and a G-set X, a G-dual set $\widehat{X}$ of X is introduced in [7], which plays a role similar to the dual group $\widehat{G}$. Then the Fourier transforms of functions on X are defined as functions on $\widehat{X}$, and used to characterize G-bent and G-perfect nonlinear functions in [7].

Email addresses: yfan@mail.ccnu.edu.cn (Y. Fan), bangteng.xu@eku.edu (B. Xu, corresponding author)

In this paper we will first give a characterization of G-perfect nonlinear functions on a G-set X by (G, H)-related difference families of X (see Theorem 2.6). As applications of this characterization, we obtain several known results in [2, 6, 17] as immediate consequences. When G is a finite abelian group, we introduce the concept of a normalized G-dual set (see Definition 3.6), and use it to characterize a G-difference set of X (see Theorem 4.3). Using the method developed in this paper, we are able to give a very short and easy proof of an interesting result of Poinsot et al. [10] (see Corollary 4.5). Furthermore, by applying the Fourier transforms on normalized G-dual sets and the characterizations of G-perfect nonlinear functions established in [7], we investigate the existence and constructions of G-perfect nonlinear functions and G-bent functions on X(see Theorems 4.7, 5.4, 5.8, and 6.2). The methods developed in [7] and in this paper provide new insights into the study of G-bent and G-perfect nonlinear functions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the characterization of G-perfect nonlinear functions by (G, H)-related difference families, and discuss its applications. In Section 3, after the brief recall of some results in [7] that are needed later, we introduce the notion of a normalized G-dual set, and study its basic properties. The characterization of G-difference sets by normalized G-dual sets is given in Section 4. Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the study of the existence and constructions of G-perfect nonlinear functions and G-bent functions for the Klein four group G, respectively.

2 Perfect nonlinear functions and related deference families

In this section we introduce the concept of a (G, H)-related difference family (see Definition 2.4), and use it to characterize G-perfect nonlinear functions (see Theorem 2.6). Applications of Theorem 2.6 will also be discussed, and several known results in [2, 6, 17] are obtained as direct consequences. Throughout the paper, the following notation will be used.

Notation 2.1. Let G be a finite group of order m, and X a finite G-set of cardinality v. That is, there is a map $G \times X \to X$, $(\alpha, x) \mapsto \alpha x$, such that for any $x \in X$, $(\alpha\beta)x = \alpha(\beta x)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$, and $1_G x = x$, where 1_G is the identity element of G. Let H be a finite group.

For the fundamentals of group actions, the reader is referred to [1].

Let $f : X \to H$ be a function. For any $\alpha \in G$ the *derivative of f in direction α*, denoted by f'_α, is defined by

$$f'_\alpha : \ X \to H, \quad x \mapsto f(\alpha x) f(x)^{-1}.$$

The cardinality of a set S is denoted by $|S|$. As usual (cf. [6, 10]), the function f is said to be *G-perfect nonlinear* if $|H|$ divides $|X|$ and

$$\left|{f'_\alpha}^{-1}(\sigma)\right| = \frac{|X|}{|H|}, \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\} \ \ \forall\ \sigma \in H, \tag{2.1}$$

where ${f'_\alpha}^{-1}(\sigma) := \{x \in X \ : \ f'_\alpha(x) = \sigma\}$ is the inverse image of σ in X.

As generalizations of the usual difference sets and the relative difference sets of groups, Davis et al. [6] introduced the following definition. Note that $G \times H$ acts on $X \times H$ by $(\alpha, \sigma)(x, h) = (\alpha x, \sigma h)$, where $(\alpha, \sigma) \in G \times H$ and $(x, h) \in X \times H$.

Definition 2.2 (Cf. [6, Definition 3.2])**.** (i) A subset D of cardinality k of X is called a G–(v, k, ℓ) *difference set* of X if for any $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$, there are exactly ℓ elements (x_1, x_2) of $D \times D$ such that $\alpha x_1 = x_2$.

(ii) A subset R of cardinality k of $X \times H$ is called a $G \times H$–$(v, |H|, k, \ell)$-*relative difference set of* $X \times H$ *relative to* $\{1_G\} \times H$ if (i) for every $(\alpha, \sigma) \in (G \times H) \setminus (\{1_G\} \times H)$ there are exactly ℓ elements $\big((x_1, h_1), (x_2, h_2)\big) \in R \times R$ such that $(\alpha, \sigma)(x_1, h_1) = (x_2, h_2)$ and (ii) if $(x, h), (x, h') \in R$, then $h = h'$. Such a $G \times H$–$(v, |H|, k, \ell)$-relative difference set is said to be *semiregular* if $v = k$.

Davis et al. [6] characterized G-perfect nonlinear functions from X to H by G-difference sets (when $|H| = 2$, see Corollary 2.10 below) and by semiregular relative difference sets (see Corollary 2.8 below).

Note that for any $\alpha \in G$ and subsets C, D of the G-set X,

$$\big|\{(x, y) \in C \times D \,:\, \alpha x = y\}\big| = |\alpha C \cap D|, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha C := \{\alpha x \,:\, x \in C\}$. Thus, a subset D of cardinality k of X is a G–(v, k, ℓ) difference set if and only if $|\alpha D \cap D| = \ell$ for all $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$. Since any $\alpha \in G$ induces a permutation on X, we have

$$|\alpha C \cap D| = |\alpha^{-1}(\alpha C \cap D)| = |C \cap \alpha^{-1} D|. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, $|\alpha D \cap D| = |\alpha^{-1} D \cap D|$, for any $\alpha \in G$. Let $D' := X \setminus D$. Then $(\alpha D \cap D) \cup (\alpha D \cap D') = \alpha D \cap (D \cup D') = \alpha D \cap X = \alpha D$. So D and D' disjoint implies that

$$|\alpha D \cap D| + |\alpha D \cap D'| = |D|. \tag{2.4}$$

Now it follows from Eqn (2.4) and Eqn (2.3) that

$$|\alpha D \cap D'| = |D| - |\alpha D \cap D| = |D| - |\alpha^{-1} D \cap D| = |\alpha^{-1} D \cap D'| = |D \cap \alpha D'|.$$

That is,

$$|\alpha D \cap D'| = |D \cap \alpha D'|, \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in G, \text{ where } D' = X \setminus D. \tag{2.5}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let D be a subset of cardinality k of the G-set X, and $D' := X \setminus D$ with cardinality $k' := v - k$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(*i*) *There is a nonnegative integer w such that $|\alpha D \cap D'| = w$ (or equivalently, $|D \cap \alpha D'| = w$) for all $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$.*

(*ii*) *D is a G–$(v, k, k - w)$ difference set.*

(*iii*) *D' is a G–$(v, k', k' - w)$ difference set.*

Proof. By Eqn (2.4), for all $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$, $|\alpha D \cap D'| = w$ if and only if $|\alpha D \cap D| = k - w$. So (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Similarly, (i) and (iii) are also equivalent. □

Xu [17] introduced the concept of a (G, H)-related difference family of a group G (which is different from the difference families defined in [3]) and used it to characterize G-perfect nonlinear functions on groups. We generalize this concept to G-sets as follows.

Definition 2.4. Let $S_h \subseteq X$ for $h \in H$ be disjoint subsets indexed by H such that $\bigcup_{h \in H} S_h = X$. We say that $\{S_h \,:\, h \in H\}$ is a (G, H)-*related difference family* of X if for any $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$ and $\sigma \in H \setminus \{1_H\}$ there are exactly $\frac{|X|}{|H|}$ elements $(x, y) \in \bigcup_{h \in H} (S_h \times S_{\sigma h})$ such that $\alpha x = y$.

Note that if there exits a (G, H)-related difference family of X, then $|H|$ divides $|X|$. Also in the above definition, we do not assume that S_h is nonempty for every $h \in H$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $S_h \subseteq X$ for $h \in H$ be disjoint subsets such that $\bigcup_{h\in H} S_h = X$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $\{S_h : h \in H\}$ *is a (G, H)-related difference family.*

(ii) $\sum_{h\in H} \left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right| = \frac{|X|}{|H|}$ *for all $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$ and $\sigma \in H \setminus \{1_H\}$.*

(iii) $\sum_{h\in H} \left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right| = \frac{|X|}{|H|}$ *for all $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$ and all $\sigma \in H$.*

Proof. It follows from $S_h \subseteq X$ disjoint for all $h \in H$ that for any $\alpha \in G$, $\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}$ are also disjoint for all $h, \sigma \in H$, and $S_h \times S_{\sigma h}$ are disjoint for all $h, \sigma \in H$, too. So by Eqn (2.2), the number of elements $(x, y) \in \bigcup_{h\in H}(S_h \times S_{\sigma h})$ such that $\alpha x = y$ is equal to $\sum_{h\in H} \left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right|$. Thus, (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Furthermore, since $\bigcup_{h\in H} S_h = X$,

$$\bigcup_{\sigma\in H} (\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}) = \alpha S_h \bigcap \Big(\bigcup_{\sigma\in H} S_{\sigma h}\Big) = \alpha S_h \cap X = \alpha S_h.$$

Hence, $S_{\sigma h}$ disjoint for all $\sigma \in H$ imply that $\sum_{\sigma\in H} \left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right| = |S_h|$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\sigma\in H} \sum_{h\in H} \left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right| = |X|.$$

So (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f : X \to H$ be a function, and $S_h := f^{-1}(h)$ for all $h \in H$. Then f is a G-perfect nonlinear function if and only if $\{S_h : h \in H\}$ is a (G, H)-related difference family.*

Proof. Clearly the subsets S_h of X are disjoint for all $h \in H$, and $\bigcup_{h\in H} S_h = X$. Let $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$ and $\sigma, h \in H$. Then for any $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \in {f'_\alpha}^{-1}(\sigma) \cap S_h \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad f(\alpha x) f(x)^{-1} = \sigma \text{ and } f(x) = h \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \quad f(\alpha x) = \sigma f(x) = \sigma h \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \alpha x \in \alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\left|{f'_\alpha}^{-1}(\sigma) \cap S_h\right| = \left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right|$, for any $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$ and $\sigma, h \in H$. Hence,

$$\left|{f'_\alpha}^{-1}(\sigma)\right| = \sum_{h\in H} \left|{f'_\alpha}^{-1}(\sigma) \cap S_h\right| = \sum_{h\in H} \left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right|, \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\},\ \ \forall\ \sigma \in H.$$

By the definition of a G-perfect nonlinear function (cf. Eqn (2.1)) and Lemma 2.5, the theorem holds. □

As direct consequences, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.7 (Cf. [17], Theorem 1.3)**.** *Let $f : G \to H$ be a function, and $S_h := f^{-1}(h)$, for any $h \in H$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) f is perfect nonlinear.

(ii) $\{S_h : h \in H\}$ *is a (G, H)-related difference family.*

Corollary 2.8 (Cf. [2, Theorem 14], [6, Theorem 3.4]). *Let $f : X \to H$ be a function, and let $R_f = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq X \times H$. Then f is G-perfect nonlinear if and only if R_f is a $G \times H$–$(|X|, |H|, |X|, \frac{|X|}{|H|})$ semiregular relative difference set of $X \times H$ relative to $\{1_G\} \times H$.*

Proof. Let $S_h := f^{-1}(h) \subseteq X$ for $h \in H$, and let $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$ and $\sigma \in H$. Then for any $(y, f(y)) \in R_f$,

$$\begin{aligned}(y, f(y)) \in (\alpha, \sigma)R_f \cap R_f \quad &\iff \quad (y, f(y)) = (\alpha x, \sigma f(x)) \text{ for some (unique) } x \in X \\ &\iff \quad y \in \alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h} \text{ for some (unique) } h \in H.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\big|(\alpha, \sigma)R_f \cap R_f\big| = \sum_{h \in H} \big|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\big|, \qquad \forall \alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\} \ \ \forall\, \sigma \in H.$$

So the corollary holds by Eqn (2.2), Lemma 2.5, and Theorem 2.6. □

The difference families of groups in [3] can be generalized to G-difference families of G-sets as follows. Let $\mathcal{F} := \{S_1, \ldots, S_p\}$ be a family of nonempty subsets of X, and $K := \{|S_i| : 1 \le i \le p\}$. If for any $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$, there are exactly ℓ elements (x, y) in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p}(S_i \times S_i)$ such that $\alpha x = y$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is called a G–(v, K, ℓ) *difference family* of X. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{F}$ forms a partition of X, then $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *partitioned* G–(v, K, ℓ) *difference family* of X. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.6, we have the following

Corollary 2.9. *Let $f : X \to H$ be a G-perfect nonlinear function. Let $S_h := f^{-1}(h)$ for any $h \in H$, and $K := \{|S_h| : h \in f(X)\}$, where $f(X)$ denotes the image of f. Then $\{S_h : h \in f(X)\}$ is a partitioned G–$(|X|, K, |X|/|H|)$ difference family in X.*

The relation between G-perfect nonlinear functions and G-difference sets established in [6] can also be easily obtained as a direct consequence of Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 2.10 (Cf. [6], Theorem 3.3). *Let $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a function, where $\mathbb{F}_2$ denotes the field of 2 elements (but here it is regarded as an additive group of order 2). Let $S_0 = f^{-1}(0)$, $S_1 = f^{-1}(1)$, $k_0 = |S_0|$, and $k_1 = |S_1|$ (hence $k_0 + k_1 = v$). Then f is a G-perfect nonlinear function if and only if $4|v$ and S_1 is a G–$(v, k_1, k_1 - \frac{v}{4})$ difference set (or equivalently, S_0 is a G–$(v, k_0, k_0 - \frac{v}{4})$ difference set).*

Proof. By Theorem 2.6, f is G-perfect nonlinear if and only if

$$|\alpha S_0 \cap S_1| + |\alpha S_1 \cap S_0| = \frac{|X|}{|\mathbb{F}_2|} = \frac{v}{2}, \qquad \forall\, \alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}.$$

By Eqn (2.5), the above equality is equivalent to that

$$|\alpha S_0 \cap S_1| = |S_0 \cap \alpha S_1| = \frac{v}{4}, \qquad \forall\, \alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}.$$

Thus the corollary follows from Lemma 2.3 immediately. □

Moreover, by applying Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.3, in the next theorem we can determine all G-perfect nonlinear functions on a G-set whose images contain exactly two elements. Note that examples of G-perfect nonlinear functions that satisfy the properties described in the next theorem can be found in [6] and [7, Example 6.5].

Theorem 2.11. *Assume that $f : X \to H$ is a function whose image $f(X)$ consists of exactly two elements $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Let $S_h := f^{-1}(h)$ for $h \in H$, and $k_i := |S_{h_i}|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then f is a G-perfect nonlinear function if and only if one of the following holds.*

(i) $|H| = 2$, $4|v$ and S_{h_1} is a G–$(v, k_1, k_1 - v/4)$ difference set (or equivalently, S_{h_2} is a G–$(v, k_2, k_2 - v/4)$ difference set).

(ii) $|H| = 3$, $3|v$ and S_{h_1} is a G–$(v, k_1, k_1 - v/3)$ difference set (or equivalently, S_{h_2} is a G–$(v, k_2, k_2 - v/3)$ difference set).

Proof. Note that both k_1 and k_2 are positive, but $|S_h| = 0$ (i.e. $S_h = \emptyset$) for $h \neq h_1, h_2$.

Suppose that f is a G-perfect nonlinear function. By Theorem 2.6, for any $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$, we have

$$\sum_{h \in H} \left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right| = \frac{|X|}{|H|}, \qquad \forall\ \sigma \in H \setminus \{1_H\}.$$

If $h \neq h_1, h_2$, then $\alpha S_h = \emptyset$, and hence $\left|\alpha S_h \cap S_{\sigma h}\right| = 0$. So the above equality can be reduced to

$$\left|\alpha S_{h_1} \cap S_{\sigma h_1}\right| + \left|\alpha S_{h_2} \cap S_{\sigma h_2}\right| = \frac{|X|}{|H|}, \qquad \forall\ \sigma \in H \setminus \{1_H\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\sigma \neq 1_H$, $\sigma h_i \neq h_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $\sigma h_1 \neq h_2$ and $\sigma h_2 \neq h_1$, then $S_{\sigma h_1} = \emptyset = S_{\sigma h_2}$, and Eqn (2.6) cannot hold.

Thus, for any $\sigma \in H \setminus \{1_H\}$, we have either $\sigma h_1 = h_2$ (i.e. $\sigma = h_2 h_1^{-1}$) or $\sigma h_2 = h_1$ (i.e. $\sigma = h_1 h_2^{-1}$). Note that it is possible $h_2 h_1^{-1} = h_1 h_2^{-1}$.

If $h_2 h_1^{-1} = h_1 h_2^{-1}$, then for any $\sigma \in H \setminus \{1_H\}$, we must have $\sigma = h_2 h_1^{-1} = h_1 h_2^{-1}$. So $H = \{1, \sigma\}$, and (i) holds by Corollary 2.10.

Now assume that $h_2 h_1^{-1} \neq h_1 h_2^{-1}$. Since $h_1 \neq h_2$, none of $h_2 h_1^{-1}$ and $h_1 h_2^{-1}$ is the identity element. Because we have already shown that any non-identity element of H must be either $h_2 h_1^{-1}$ or $h_1 h_2^{-1}$, we see that $|H| = 3$. Thus, if $\sigma = h_2 h_1^{-1}$, then $\sigma h_1 = h_2$, and $\sigma h_2 \notin \{h_1, h_2\}$. If $\sigma = h_1 h_2^{-1}$, then $\sigma h_2 = h_1$, and $\sigma h_1 \notin \{h_1, h_2\}$. Therefore, Eqn (2.6) becomes

$$\left|\alpha S_{h_1} \cap S_{h_2}\right| = \left|S_{h_1} \cap \alpha S_{h_2}\right| = \frac{|X|}{|H|} = \frac{v}{3}, \quad \forall\ \sigma \in H \setminus \{1_H\}. \tag{2.7}$$

By Lemma 2.3, S_{h_1} is a G–$(v, k_1, k_1 - v/3)$ difference set, which is equivalent to that S_{h_2} is a G–$(v, k_2, k_2 - v/3)$ difference set.

Conversely, if (i) holds, then f is a G-perfect nonlinear function by Corollary 2.10. If (ii) holds, then by Lemma 2.3, Eqn (2.7) holds. Thus, f is a G-perfect nonlinear function by Theorem 2.6. □

3 Normalized G-dual sets

With Notation 2.1, in the rest of the paper we always assume that G and H are abelian. Then we study G-perfect nonlinear functions, G-bent functions, and G-difference sets, etc. by using Fourier transforms on G-sets.

In this section we first briefly state some known results that will be needed later. Then we introduce the concept of a normalized G-dual set and discuss its basic properties. For bent and perfect nonlinear functions on finite groups, the reader is referred to [4, 5, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16–19].

Let $\widehat{G}$ be the dual group of G. That is, $\widehat{G}$ is the group of irreducible characters of G over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. The *principal irreducible character* of G is the character $\psi_0 : G \to \mathbb{C}, \alpha \mapsto 1$. The

kernel of $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ is $\ker\psi := \{\alpha \in G : \psi(\alpha) = 1\}$. Note that $\ker\psi$ is a subgroup of G. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\overline{z}$ be the complex conjugate of z, and $|z|$ the absolute value (or complex modulus) of z. Then for any $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ and $\alpha \in G$, $|\psi(\alpha)| = 1$. Furthermore, for any $\psi, \varphi \in \widehat{G}$,

$$\sum_{\alpha\in G} \psi(\alpha)\overline{\varphi(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} |G|, & \text{if } \psi = \varphi; \\ 0, & \text{if } \psi \neq \varphi, \end{cases}$$

(the First Orthogonality Relation of irreducible characters). For the reference of the character theory of finite groups, the reader is referred to [8, 15].

Let $\mathbb{C}^X$ be the set of all functions from X to $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathbb{C}^X$ is a G-space with the G-action defined by

$$(\alpha f)(x) := f(\alpha^{-1}x), \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathbb{C}^X, \alpha \in G, x \in X.$$

For any $f \in \mathbb{C}^X$, if there is a $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ such that

$$f(\alpha^{-1}x) = \psi(\alpha)f(x), \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in G, x \in X,$$

then f is said to be *ψ-linear* or *G-linear* (cf. [7, Definition 2.1]). Also $\mathbb{C}^X$ is a unitary space with inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle := \sum_{x\in X} f(x)\overline{g(x)}, \quad \text{for any } f, g \in \mathbb{C}^X.$$

For a positive real number μ, a basis $\{u_1, \ldots, u_v\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^X$ is called a *μ-normal orthogonal basis* if $\langle u_i, u_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}\mu$ for all $1 \le i, j \le v$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. The complex conjugate of $f \in \mathbb{C}^X$ is $\overline{f} \in \mathbb{C}^X$ defined by $\overline{f}(x) := \overline{f(x)}$, for any $x \in X$.

Definition 3.1. (Cf. [7, Definition 2.2]) A *G-dual set* $\widehat{X}$ of a G-set X is a $|X|$-normal orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{C}^X$ such that any $\lambda \in \widehat{X}$ is G-linear and $\widehat{X}$ is closed under complex conjugate (i.e. $\overline{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$ for any $\lambda \in \widehat{X}$).

For any G-set X, there exists a G-dual set $\widehat{X}$ by [7, Theorem 2.3]. Let $\widehat{X}$ be a G-dual set of X. For any $\psi \in \widehat{G}$, let $\widehat{X}_\psi := \{\lambda \in \widehat{X} : \lambda \text{ is } \psi\text{-linear}\}$. Then $\widehat{X}_\psi$ are disjoint for all $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{X} = \bigcup_{\psi\in\widehat{G}} \widehat{X}_\psi$.

Definition 3.2. (Cf. [7, Definition 3.1]) For any $f \in \mathbb{C}^X$, the *Fourier transform* of f on $\widehat{X}$ is a function $\widehat{f} \in \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{X}}$ defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda) = \sum_{x\in X} f(x)\lambda(x), \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in \widehat{X}.$$

Let $T := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$. A function $f : X \to T$ is called a *G-bent function* (cf. [7, Definition 4.1]) if

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_\psi} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\right|^2 = \frac{|X|^2}{|G|}, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \widehat{G}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\sum_{\psi\in\widehat{G}} \sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_\psi} |\widehat{f}(\lambda)|^2 = |\widehat{f}|^2 = |X|^2$ (see [7, Corollary 3.5]), it follows that

$$f \text{ is } G\text{-bent} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_\psi} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\right|^2 = \frac{|X|^2}{|G|}, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\psi_0\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $f : X \to T$ be a function, and $\alpha \in G$. Then the *derivative of f in direction α* is the function f'_α defined by

$$f'_\alpha : \ X \to T, \quad x \mapsto f(\alpha x) f(x)^{-1}.$$

A function $g : X \to T$ is said to be *balanced* if $\sum_{x \in X} g(x) = 0$.

Theorem 3.3. (Cf. [7, Theorem 4.6]) *A function $f : X \to T$ is G-bent if and only if for any $\alpha \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$, f'_α is balanced.*

Theorem 3.4. (Cf. [7, Theorem 5.2]) *A function $f : X \to H$ is G-perfect nonlinear if and only if for any non-principal $\xi \in \widehat{H}$, $\xi \circ f$ is a G-bent function, i.e. (see Eqn (3.2))*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi} \left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda)\right|^2 = \frac{|X|^2}{|G|}, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\psi_0\}.$$

Let X be a G-set with orbits $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p$, and let $N_i := \{\alpha \in G \ : \ \alpha x = x, \text{ for any } x \in X_i\}$, $1 \le i \le p$. Let $\widehat{X}$ be a G-dual set of X. For any subset D of X and any $\lambda \in \widehat{X}$, let

$$\lambda(D) := \{\lambda(x) \ : \ x \in D\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(D)^+ := \sum_{x \in D} \lambda(x).$$

Note that $\lambda(D)^+ = 0$ if $D = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.5. *With the notation in the above paragraph, there exists a G-dual set $\widehat{X}$ that satisfies the following conditions.*

(*i*) *For any $\lambda \in \widehat{X}$, there is exactly one orbit X_j such that $\lambda(X_j) \neq \{0\}$.*

(*ii*) *If $\lambda \in \widehat{X}$ and $\lambda(X_j) \neq \{0\}$ for some orbit X_j, then for any $x \in X_j$, $|\lambda(x)| = \sqrt{|X|/|X_j|}$.*

(*iii*) *For any non-principal irreducible character ψ of G and any $\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi$, $\lambda(X)^+ = 0$.*

(*iv*) *For any X_j and any $\psi \in \widehat{G}$, there is at most one $\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi$ such that $\lambda(X_j) \neq \{0\}$.*

(*v*) *For any $\psi \in \widehat{G}$, there is $\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi$ such that $\lambda(X_j) \neq \{0\}$ for some X_j if and only if $N_j \subseteq \ker \psi$.*

(*vi*) *For any X_j, there is exactly one $\lambda \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_0}$ such that $\lambda(X_j) \neq \{0\}$ (hence $\lambda(x) = \sqrt{|X|/|X_j|}$ for any $x \in X_j$), where ψ_0 is the principal irreducible character of G.*

Proof. For any $1 \le j \le p$, let $\widehat{G}_j := \{\psi \in \widehat{G} \ : \ \ker \psi \supseteq N_j\}$. Then $\widehat{G}_j$ is a subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ and is isomorphic to the dual group $\widehat{G/N_j}$ of the quotient group G/N_j. Fix $x_{j0} \in X_j$. For any $\psi \in \widehat{G}_j$, define

$$\lambda_{j\psi} : X \to \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} \sqrt{|X|/|X_j|}\, \psi(\alpha^{-1}), & \text{if } x = \alpha x_{j0} \text{ for some } \alpha \in G; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin X_j. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $x = \alpha x_{j0} = \beta x_{j0}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in G$, then $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in N_j$, and hence $\psi(\alpha^{-1}) = \psi(\beta^{-1})$ for any $\psi \in \widehat{G}_j$. Thus, $\lambda_{j\psi}$ is well defined. It is clear that $\lambda_{j\psi}$ is ψ-linear. For any $\psi, \varphi \in \widehat{G}_j$, the isomorphism of $\widehat{G}_j$ and $\widehat{G/N_j}$ and the First Orthogonality Relation of irreducible characters imply that

$$\sum_{\alpha N_j \in G/N_j} \psi(\alpha)\overline{\varphi(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} |G/N_j|, & \text{if } \psi = \varphi; \\ 0, & \text{if } \psi \neq \varphi. \end{cases}$$

Note that $|X_j| = |G|/|N_j|$. So for any $\psi, \varphi \in \widehat{G}_j$,

$$\langle \lambda_{j\psi}, \lambda_{j\varphi} \rangle = \sum_{x \in X_j} \lambda_{j\psi}(x)\overline{\lambda_{j\varphi}(x)} = \frac{|X|}{|X_j|} \sum_{\alpha N_j \in G/N_j} \psi(\alpha)\overline{\varphi(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} |X|, & \text{if } \psi = \varphi; \\ 0, & \text{if } \psi \neq \varphi. \end{cases}$$

Let $\widehat{X} := \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \{\lambda_{j\psi} : \psi \in \widehat{G}_j\}$. Then it is clear that $\widehat{X}$ is a G-dual set that satisfies all the conditions (i) – (vi) of the lemma. (Note that the principal irreducible character $\psi_0 \in \widehat{G}_j$ for all j.) □

Definition 3.6. Any G-dual set $\widehat{X}$ that satisfies all the conditions in Lemma 3.5 is called a *normalized G-dual set*. If $\widehat{X}$ is a normalized G-dual set, then the *support* of $\lambda \in \widehat{X}$ is the unique orbit X_j such that $\lambda(X_j) \neq \{0\}$.

For any subset K of G, let $K^{(-)} := \{\alpha^{-1} : \alpha \in K\}$, and $K^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in K} \alpha \in \mathbb{C}G$, where $\mathbb{C}G$ is the group algebra of G over $\mathbb{C}$. Note that $K^+ = 0$ if $K = \emptyset$. For any $(x, y) \in X \times X$, let

$$G_{x,y} := \{\alpha \in G : \alpha x = y\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that $G_{y,x} = G_{x,y}^{(-1)}$.

Any $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ can be linearly extended to a function (also denoted by ψ) $\psi : \mathbb{C}G \to \mathbb{C}$. The following is a generalization of the second orthogonality relation (see [7, Lemma 2.5]).

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a G-set, $\widehat{X}$ a normalized G-dual set of X, and $\psi \in \widehat{G}$. Then the following hold.*

(i) For any $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi} \lambda(x)\overline{\lambda(y)} = \frac{|X|}{|G|}\psi(G_{x,y}^+). \tag{3.4}$$

(ii) For any subsets C, D of X,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi} \lambda(C)^+\overline{\lambda(D)^+} = \frac{|X|}{|G|}\psi\left(\sum_{(x,y) \in C \times D} G_{x,y}^+\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. (i) If x and y are not in the same orbit of G, then $G_{x,y}^+ = 0$, and for any $\lambda \in \widehat{X}$, $\lambda(x)\overline{\lambda(y)} = 0$ by Lemma 3.5(i). So Eqn (3.4) hols. Now assume that x and y are in the same orbit X_j. Then there is $\alpha \in G$ such that $x = \alpha y$, and hence $G_{x,y} = N_j\alpha$. If for all $\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi$, $\lambda(X_j) = \{0\}$, then $\sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi} \lambda(x)\overline{\lambda(y)} = 0$. Also by Lemma 3.5(v), $N_j \not\subseteq \ker\psi$. So $\psi|_{N_j}$ is not the principal irreducible character of N_j, and hence $\psi(N_j^+) = 0$. Thus, $\psi(G_{x,y}^+) = \psi(N_j^+)\psi(\alpha) = 0$, and Eqn (3.4) holds. If there is a $\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi$ such that $\lambda(X_j) \neq \{0\}$, then $N_j \subseteq \ker\psi$, and $\alpha x = y$ implies that $\lambda(x) = \lambda(\alpha^{-1}y) = \psi(\alpha)\lambda(y)$. So $\psi(G_{x,y}^+) = \psi(N_j^+)\psi(\alpha) = |N_j|\psi(\alpha)$, and Lemma 3.5(ii) yields that,

$$\lambda(x)\overline{\lambda(y)} = \psi(\alpha)|\lambda(y)|^2 = \frac{|X|}{|X_j|}\psi(\alpha) = \frac{|X|}{|X_j|}\frac{1}{|N_j|}\psi(G_{x,y}^+) = \frac{|X|}{|G|}\psi(G_{x,y}^+).$$

Note that in this case there is only one $\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi$ such that $\lambda(X_j) \neq \{0\}$ by Lemma 3.5(iv). So Eqn (3.4) holds.

(ii) follows from (i) directly. □

4 G-difference sets

As in Section 3, we assume that both G and H are abelian. In this section we first study characterizations of G-difference sets of X via a normalized G-dual set $\widehat{X}$ of X. Then we describe the relations between G-difference sets and G-perfect nonlinear functions by the Fourier transforms on $\widehat{X}$. As direct consequences, we will obtain some known results in [6, 10].

The next lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 4.1. *Let D be a nonempty subset of X. Then D is a G–(v, k, ℓ) difference set of X if and only if*

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in D\times D} G^+_{x,y} = k \cdot 1_G + \ell(G \setminus \{1_G\})^+ = (k-\ell)1_G + \ell G^+,$$

where $G_{x,y}$ is the same as in Eqn (3.3).

For any subsets C, D of X, let

$$\delta(C, D) := \sum_{(x,y)\in C\times D} |G_{x,y}|. \tag{4.1}$$

It is clear that $\delta(C, D) = \delta(D, C)$. Note that for any $x \in X$, $\sum_{y\in X}|G_{x,y}| = |G|$. Hence $\delta(C, X) = |C| \cdot |G|$. If D is a G–(v, k, ℓ) difference set, then $\delta(D, D) = k + \ell(|G| - 1)$ by Lemma 4.1, and $\delta(D, X \setminus D) = \delta(D, X) - \delta(D, D) = (k - \ell)(|G| - 1)$.

The next lemma is known but does not appear in the standard references in the representation theory of finite groups. We need this result in this section and the next section.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a \in \mathbb{C}G$ and $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the following hold.*

(*i*) *$a = \mu \cdot 1_G + \gamma G^+$ if and only if for any non-principal $\psi \in \widehat{G}$, $\psi(a) = \mu$.*

(*ii*) *$a = \mu \cdot 1_G$ if and only if for all $\psi \in \widehat{G}$, $\psi(a) = \mu$.*

We have a characterization of G-difference sets in terms of G-dual sets.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\widehat{X}$ be a normalized G-dual set. Then a nonempty subset D of X is a G–(v, k, ℓ) difference set of X if and only if for any non-principal $\psi \in \widehat{G}$,*

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_\psi} \left|\lambda(D)^+\right|^2 = \frac{|X|}{|G|}(k-\ell). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. For any $\psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$, Lemma 3.7(ii) implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_\psi} \left|\lambda(D)^+\right|^2 = \sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_\psi} \lambda(D)^+\overline{\lambda(D)^+} = \frac{|X|}{|G|}\,\psi\left(\sum_{(x,y)\in D\times D} G^+_{x,y}\right).$$

Thus, if D is a G–(v, k, ℓ) difference set, then for any non-principal $\psi \in \widehat{G}$, $\psi\left(\sum_{(x,y)\in D\times D} G^+_{x,y}\right) = k - \ell$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence, Eqn (4.2) holds. On the other hand, assume that for any non-principal $\psi \in \widehat{G}$, Eqn (4.2) holds. Then $\psi\left(\sum_{(x,y)\in D\times D} G^+_{x,y}\right) = k - \ell$, and hence by Lemma 4.2,

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in D\times D} G^+_{x,y} = (k-\ell)\cdot 1_G + \gamma G^+, \quad \text{for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $1_G \in G_{x,x}$ for any $x \in X$, comparing the coefficient of 1_G in both sides of the above equality, we see that $\gamma = \ell$ and $\sum_{(x,y)\in D\times D} G^+_{x,y} = k \cdot 1_G + \ell(G \setminus \{1_G\})^+$. So D is a G–(v, k, ℓ) difference set by Lemma 4.1. □

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_p$ be the orbits of the action of G on X. Let D be a G-difference set of X, $C_i = D \cap X_i$ or $X_i \setminus (D \cap X_i)$, $1 \le i \le p$, and $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^p C_i$. Let $\widehat{X}$ be a normalized G-dual set of X. Then for any non-principal $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ and $\lambda \in \widehat{X}_\psi$, since there is only one orbit X_j such that $\lambda(X_j) \ne \{0\}$ and $\lambda(X_j)^+ = 0$ by Lemma 3.5, we see that $\lambda(D)^+ = \lambda(D \cap X_j)^+ = -\lambda(X_j \setminus (D \cap X_j))^+ = \pm\lambda(C_j)^+ = \pm\lambda(C)^+$. Hence, C is also a G-difference set by Theorem 4.3. A result similar to this observation for arbitrary finite groups was proved in [6, Theorem 4.3].

From Theorems 3.4 and 2.11 (or Corollary 2.10), we have the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a finite abelian group acting on a finite set X. Let $\widehat{X}$ be a normalized G-dual set of X, and $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ a function. Then $f^{-1}(1)$ is a G–$(v, k, k - v/4)$ difference set if and only if for any non-principal $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ and non-principal irreducible character ξ of $\mathbb{F}_2$, $\sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_\psi} \left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda)\right|^2 = |X|^2/|G|$.*

The method developed in this section is useful in other places. For example, we can easily prove the following

Corollary 4.5. (Cf. [10, Theorem 6]) *Let G be a finite abelian group acting regularly on a set X, and $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ a function. Then f is G-perfect nonlinear if and only if $f^{-1}(1)$ is a G–$(4u^2, 2u^2 \pm u, u(u \pm 1))$ difference set of X, where $|X| = 4u^2$.*

Proof. Let $S_1 := f^{-1}(1)$, $v := |X|$, and $k := |S_1|$. Since G acts regularly on X, we have $|G| = |X| = v$, and $\delta(S_1, S_1) = |S_1|^2 = k^2$. If f is G-perfect nonlinear, then S_1 is a G–$(v, k, k - v/4)$ difference set of X, and hence $\delta(S_1, S_1) = k + (k - v/4)(v - 1)$ by Lemma 4.1. Thus, $k^2 = k + (k - v/4)(v - 1)$, and $k = (v \pm \sqrt{v})/2$. But $4|v$ implies that $\pm\sqrt{v}/2 = k - v/2$ must be an integer. Let $u = \sqrt{v}/2$. Then $v = 4u^2$, $k = 2u^2 \pm u$, $k - v/4 = u(u \pm 1)$, and S_1 is a G–$(4u^2, 2u^2 \pm u, u(u \pm 1))$ difference set. The other direction is clear. □

Remark 4.6. Let G be a finite abelian group acting on a finite set X, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a G-perfect nonlinear function. If the action of G on X is not regular, then it is not necessarily $|X| = 4u^2$ for some positive integer u. The next theorem gives such an example. Also see Section 5 for more such examples.

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be a group of order 2 acting on a finite set X. Assume that the orbits of G are $X_1, \ldots, X_r, X_{r+1}, \ldots, X_{r+s}$ such that the length of each X_i is 2 for $1 \le i \le r$, and the length of each X_j is 1 for $r + 1 \le j \le r + s$. Then the following hold.*

(*i*) *There is a G-perfect nonlinear function $X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ if and only if $2r \ge s$ and $4|(2r + s)$.*

(*ii*) *Assume that $2r \ge s$ and $4|(2r + s)$. Then a function $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ is G-perfect nonlinear if and only if*

$$\left|\{i : 1 \le i \le r \text{ and } |X_i \cap f^{-1}(1)| = 1\}\right| = \frac{2r + s}{4}.$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{G} = \{\psi_0, \psi_1\}$, where ψ_0 is the principal irreducible character. Let $\widehat{X} = \{\lambda_i : 1 \le i \le r + s\} \cup \{\eta_j : 1 \le j \le r\}$ be a normalized G-dual set of X such that $\widehat{X}_{\psi_1} = \{\eta_j : 1 \le j \le r\}$. Then for $1 \le j \le r$, η_j can be chosen such that the support of η_j is X_j, and

$\eta_j(x_j) = \sqrt{(2r+s)/2}, \eta_j(y_j) = -\sqrt{(2r+s)/2}$, where $\{x_j, y_j\} = X_j$. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a function, and ξ the non-principal irreducible character of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then

$$\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta_j) = \xi(f(x_j))\eta_j(x_j) + \xi(f(y_j))\eta_j(y_j) = \begin{cases} \pm 2\sqrt{(2r+s)/2}, & \text{if } |X_j \cap f^{-1}(1)| = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\eta \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_1}} \left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta)\right|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta_j)\right|^2 = 2p(2r+s),$$

where $p = \left|\{j : 1 \le j \le r \text{ and } |X_j \cap f^{-1}(1)| = 1\}\right|$. So by Theorem 3.4, f is G-perfect nonlinear if and only if $p = (2r+s)/4 \le r$, and the theorem holds. □

When $s = 2r$ in the above theorem, we have the following

Corollary 4.8. (Cf. [6, Theorem 4.4]) *Let m be a positive integer, and let X and Y be two sets such that $|X| = |Y| = 2m$ and $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. Let π be a permutation on $X \cup Y$ such that $\pi(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$, $\pi(y) \neq y$, and $\pi(\pi(y)) = y$, for all $y \in Y$. Then there is a $\langle\pi\rangle$-perfect nonlinear function $f : X \cup Y \to \mathbb{F}_2$. Furthermore, f can be chosen to be evenly-balanced and hence $f^{-1}(1)$ is a $\langle\pi\rangle$–$(4m, 2m, m)$ difference set of $X \cup Y$.*

5 Perfect nonlinear functions on actions of Klein group

In this section we assume that $G := \{1_G, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ is the Klein group, and study necessary and sufficient conditions under which there exist G-perfect nonlinear functions on G-sets. Constructions of G-perfect nonlinear functions are also presented. Note that $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 = \gamma^2 = 1_G,\ \alpha\beta = \gamma,\ \beta\gamma = \alpha,\ \gamma\alpha = \beta$. The dual group $\widehat{G} := \{\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3\}$ is given by Table 5.1.

	1	α	β	γ
ψ_0	1	1	1	1
ψ_1	1	1	−1	−1
ψ_2	1	−1	1	−1
ψ_3	1	−1	−1	1

Table 5.1: Character Table of the Klein Four Group

Notation 5.1. Let G be the Klein four group acting on a finite set X with orbits

$$X_1, \ldots, X_s, \quad X_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, X_{\alpha,p}, \quad X_{\beta,1}, \ldots, X_{\beta,q}, \quad X_{\gamma,1}, \ldots, X_{\gamma,r}, \quad X_{01}, \ldots, X_{0t}$$

such that

$$|X_1| = \cdots = |X_s| = 4, \quad |X_{01}| = \cdots = |X_{0t}| = 1,$$
$$|X_{\alpha,1}| = \cdots = |X_{\alpha,p}| = |X_{\beta,1}| = \cdots = |X_{\beta,q}| = |X_{\gamma,1}| = \cdots = |X_{\gamma,r}| = 2,$$

and α fixes every point in $X_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, X_{\alpha,p}$, β fixes every point in $X_{\beta,1}, \ldots, X_{\beta,q}$, γ fixes every point in $X_{\gamma,1}, \ldots, X_{\gamma,r}$. However, we do not assume that p, q, r, s, t are all nonzero. If $s > 0$, then for $1 \le i \le s$, assume that $X_i := \{x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3}, x_{i4}\}$, $\alpha(x_{i1}) = x_{i2}$, $\alpha(x_{i3}) = x_{i4}$, $\beta(x_{i1}) = x_{i3}$, $\beta(x_{i2}) = x_{i4}$, and $\gamma(x_{i1}) = x_{i4}$, $\gamma(x_{i2}) = x_{i3}$.

With the notation in Notation 5.1, we can choose a normalized G-dual set $\widehat{X}$ of X such that

$$\begin{gathered}\widehat{X}_{\psi_1} := \{\lambda_{11}, \ldots, \lambda_{1s}, \eta_{11}, \ldots, \eta_{1p}\}, \quad \widehat{X}_{\psi_2} := \{\lambda_{21}, \ldots, \lambda_{2s}, \eta_{21}, \ldots, \eta_{2q}\}, \\ \widehat{X}_{\psi_3} := \{\lambda_{31}, \ldots, \lambda_{3s}, \eta_{31}, \ldots, \eta_{3r}\},\end{gathered} \tag{5.1}$$

where λ_{ji} and η_{jl} are defined as follows. For $1 \le i \le s$, the supports of λ_{1i}, λ_{2i}, λ_{3i} are X_i, and λ_{1i}, λ_{2i}, λ_{3i} are given by Table 5.2. Furthermore, the support of η_{1l} is $X_{\alpha,l} := \{y_{l1}, y_{l2}\}$, and $\eta_{1l}(y_{l1}) = -\eta_{1l}(y_{l2}) = \sqrt{|X|/2}$, $1 \le l \le p$; the support of η_{2l} is $X_{\beta,l} := \{z_{l1}, z_{l2}\}$, and $\eta_{2l}(z_{l1}) = -\eta_{2l}(z_{l2}) = \sqrt{|X|/2}$, $1 \le l \le q$; and the support of η_{3l} is $X_{\gamma,l} := \{w_{l1}, w_{l2}\}$, and $\eta_{3l}(w_{l1}) = -\eta_{3l}(w_{l2}) = \sqrt{|X|/2}$, $1 \le l \le r$.

	x_{i1}	x_{i2}	x_{i3}	x_{i4}
λ_{1i}	$\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$-\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$-\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$
λ_{2i}	$\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$-\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$-\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$
λ_{3i}	$\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$-\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$-\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$	$\sqrt{\lvert X\rvert/4}$

Table 5.2: Some Elements in $\widehat{X}$

Definition 5.2. With the notation in Notation 5.1, let $s > 0$, and $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a function. Then for $1 \le i \le s$ and $1 \le j \le 3$, we say that f is *ψ_j-split on X_i* if $|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_i| = 2$ and $\lambda_{ji}(f^{-1}(1) \cap X_i) = \{\sqrt{|X|/4}\}$ or $\{-\sqrt{|X|/4}\}$.

The next lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5.3. *With the notation in Notation 5.1, let $\widehat{X}$ be the normalized G-dual set of X given by Eqn* (5.1)*, $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ a function, and ξ the non-principal irreducible character of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then the following hold.*

(*i*) *For $1 \le i \le s$,*

$$\left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{ji})\right|^2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f \text{ is constant on } X_i; \\ |X|, & \text{if } \left|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_i\right| = 1 \text{ or } 3, \end{cases} \quad 1 \le j \le 3,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{1i})\right|^2 = 4|X|,\ \widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{2i}) = \widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{3i}) = 0, \quad \text{if } f \text{ is } \psi_1\text{-split on } X_i, \\ &\left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{2i})\right|^2 = 4|X|,\ \widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{1i}) = \widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{3i}) = 0, \quad \text{if } f \text{ is } \psi_2\text{-split on } X_i, \\ &\left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{3i})\right|^2 = 4|X|,\ \widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{1i}) = \widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda_{2i}) = 0, \quad \text{if } f \text{ is } \psi_3\text{-split on } X_i. \end{aligned}$$

(*ii*)

$$\left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta_{1l})\right|^2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \left|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\alpha,l}\right| = 0 \text{ or } 2; \\ 2|X|, & \text{if } \left|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\alpha,l}\right| = 1, \end{cases} \quad 1 \le l \le p,$$

$$\left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta_{2l})\right|^2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \left|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\beta,l}\right| = 0 \text{ or } 2; \\ 2|X|, & \text{if } \left|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\beta,l}\right| = 1, \end{cases} \quad 1 \le l \le q,$$

$$\left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta_{3l})\right|^2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \left|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\gamma,l}\right| = 0 \text{ or } 2; \\ 2|X|, & \text{if } \left|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\gamma,l}\right| = 1, \end{cases} \quad 1 \le l \le r.$$

With the notation in Notation 5.1, for a function $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha &:= \big|\{X_{\alpha,i} \,:\, |f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\alpha,i}| = 1, 1 \le i \le p\}\big|, \\ \mu_\beta &:= \big|\{X_{\beta,i} \,:\, |f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\beta,i}| = 1, 1 \le i \le q\}\big|, \\ \mu_\gamma &:= \big|\{X_{\gamma,i} \,:\, |f^{-1}(1) \cap X_{\gamma,i}| = 1, 1 \le i \le r\}\big|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Note that $\mu_\alpha = 0$ if $p = 0$, $\mu_\beta = 0$ if $q = 0$, and $\mu_\gamma = 0$ if $r = 0$.

Theorem 5.4. *With the notation in Notation 5.1 and Eqn* (5.2)*, if $s = 0$, then the following hold.*

(*i*) *There exists a G-perfect nonlinear function from X to $\mathbb{F}_2$ if and only if* 8 *divides $|X|$ and* $\min\{p, q, r\} \ge |X|/8$.

(*ii*) *A function $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ is G-perfect nonlinear if and only if $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta = \mu_\gamma = |X|/8$.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a function, and ξ the non-principal irreducible character of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let $\widehat{X}$ be the normalized G-dual set of X given by Eqn (5.1). Then by Lemma 5.3,

$$\sum_{\eta_{1i} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_1}} \big|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta_{1i})\big|^2 = 2|X|\mu_\alpha, \quad \sum_{\eta_{2i} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_2}} \big|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta_{2i})\big|^2 = 2|X|\mu_\beta, \quad \sum_{\eta_{3i} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_3}} \big|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\eta_{3i})\big|^2 = 2|X|\mu_\gamma.$$

Since $s = 0$, Theorem 3.4 and Eqn (5.1) imply that f is G-perfect nonlinear if and only if $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta = \mu_\gamma = |X|/8$. So (ii) holds.

Now we prove (i). First assume that there is a G-perfect nonlinear function $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$. Then by (ii), 8 divides $|X|$. Furthermore, since $p \ge \mu_\alpha$, $q \ge \mu_\beta$, and $r \ge \mu_\gamma$, it also follows from (ii) that $\min\{p, q, r\} \ge |X|/8$. On the other hand, if 8 divides $|X|$ and $\min\{p, q, r\} \ge |X|/8$, then it is clear that there exists a function $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta = \mu_\gamma = |X|/8$. Hence, f is G-perfect nonlinear by (ii), and (i) holds. □

From the proof of Theorem 5.4, we have the following corollary by Theorem 4.3.

Corollary 5.5. *With the notation in Notation 5.1 and Eqn* (5.2)*, let $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a function. If $s = 0$, then $f^{-1}(1)$ is a G-difference set of X if and only if $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta = \mu_\gamma$.*

In general when $s \ne 0$, the classification of G-perfect nonlinear functions from X to $\mathbb{F}_2$ is much more complicated. The next example gives the classification for $|X| = 16$.

Example 5.6. With the notation in Notation 5.1, let $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a G-perfect nonlinear function. Assume that $|X| = 16$. Then one of the following hold.

(i) $s = 4$, and either the restriction of f to each X_i is G-perfect nonlinear or by renumbering X_i if necessary, f is constant on X_4 and ψ_i-split on X_i, $1 \le i \le 3$.

(ii) $s = 3$, and by renumbering X_i if necessary, f is ψ_i-split on X_i, $1 \le i \le 3$, and f is constant on other orbits of G.

(iii) $s = 3$, $p = 2$, and by renumbering X_i if necessary, f is constant on X_1 and ψ_i-split on X_i for $i = 2, 3$, and $\mu_\alpha = 2$.

Similar results for cases $s = 3$, $q = 2$ and $s = 3$, $r = 2$ also hold.

(iv) $s = 2$, and the restrictions of f to X_1, X_2, and $X \setminus (X_1 \cup X_2)$ are all G-perfect nonlinear.

(v) $s = 2$, $q = r = 2$, and by renumbering X_1, X_2 if necessary, f is ψ_1-split on X_1 and constant on X_2, and $\mu_\beta = \mu_\gamma = 2$.

Similar results for cases $s = 2$, $p = q = 2$ and $s = 2$, $p = r = 2$ also hold.

(vi) $s = 2$, $p \geq 2$, and by renumbering X_1, X_2 if necessary, f is ψ_2-split on X_1 and ψ_3-split on X_2, and $\mu_\alpha = 2$, $\mu_\beta = \mu_\gamma = 0$.

Similar results for cases $s = 2$, $q \geq 2$ and $s = 2$, $r \geq 2$ also hold.

(vii) $s = 1$, $\min\{p, q\} \geq 2$, f is ψ_3-split on X_1, $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta = 2$, and $\mu_\gamma = 0$.

Similar results for cases $s = 1$, $\min\{p, r\} \geq 2$ and $s = 1$, $\min\{q, r\} \geq 2$ also hold.

(viii) $s = 1$, $p = q = r = 2$, f is constant on X_1, and $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta = \mu_\gamma = 2$.

(ix) $s = 0$, $\min\{p, q, r\} \geq 2$, and $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta = \mu_\gamma = 2$.

Let G be a finite group acting on a finite set X. A nonempty subset Y of X is said to be *G-stable* if Y is the union of orbits of G. The next lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5.7. *Let G be a finite group acting on a finite set X, and let Y, Z be G-stable subsets of X such that $Y \cup Z = X$. Let H be a finite group, and $f : X \to H$ a function. Then the following hold.*

(i) If the restrictions of f to both Y and Z are G-perfect nonlinear, then f is G-perfect nonlinear.

(ii) If f and the restriction of f to Y are G-perfect nonlinear, then the restrictions of f to Z is also G-perfect nonlinear.

Theorem 5.8. *With the notation in Notation 5.1, there exists a G-perfect nonlinear function from X to $\mathbb{F}_2$ if and only if one of the following hold:*

(i) There exists a G-perfect nonlinear function from $X \setminus (X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_s)$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$; or

(ii) $4|v_0$, where $v_0 = 2p + 2q + 2r + t$, and there are nonnegative integers k_0, p_1, q_1, r_1 such that $p_1 \leq p$, $q_1 \leq q$, $r_1 \leq r$, and

$$p_1 \equiv q_1 \equiv r_1 \mod 2, \quad s \geq \frac{3v_0}{4} + 4k_0 - 2(p_1 + q_1 + r_1),$$
$$\frac{v_0}{4} + k_0 \geq \max\left\{p_1 + \frac{q_1 + r_1}{2}, q_1 + \frac{p_1 + r_1}{2}, r_1 + \frac{p_1 + q_1}{2}\right\}.$$

Proof. First assume that there exists a G-perfect nonlinear function from X to $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then we prove that (i) or (ii) holds. If (i) holds, there is nothing to prove. Assume that (i) does not hold. Then there is a minimal number of subsets among $X_1, \ldots, X_s$, say $X_1, \ldots, X_{s_1}$, such that there exists a G-perfect nonlinear function $f : X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_{s_1} \to \mathbb{F}_2$, where $X_0 = X \setminus (X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_s)$, and $1 \leq s_1 \leq s$. Then by Lemma 5.7, the choice of the minimal number of the subsets X_i implies that the restriction of f to any X_i is not G-perfect nonlinear, $1 \leq i \leq s_1$. Thus, for any $1 \leq i \leq s_1$, f is either constant or ψ_j-split on X_i for some $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Let $Y = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_{s_1}$, and $\widehat{Y}$ a normalized G-dual set of Y given by Eqn (5.1). Among the subsets $X_1, \ldots, X_{s_1}$, assume that there are k_0 subsets on which f is constant, and k_i subsets

on which f is ψ_i-split, $1 \le i \le 3$. Also let $p_1 := \mu_\alpha$, $q_1 := \mu_\beta$, and $r_1 := \mu_\gamma$, where $\mu_\alpha, \mu_\beta, \mu_\gamma$ are defined by Eqn (5.2). Then by Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 3.4,

$$\frac{|Y|^2}{4} = \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{Y}_{\psi_1}} \left|\widehat{(\xi \circ f)}(\lambda)\right|^2 = (4k_1 + 2p_1)|Y|,$$

where ξ is the non-principal irreducible character of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Thus, $4k_1 + 2p_1 = |Y|/4$. Similarly, $4k_2 + 2q_1 = 4k_3 + 2r_1 = |Y|/4$. Thus, $4(k_1+k_2+k_3)+2(p_1+q_1+r_1) = 3|Y|/4$. Since $|Y| = 4s_1 + v_0$ and $s_1 = k_0 + k_1 + k_2 + k_3$, we get that

$$s_1 = \frac{3v_0}{4} + 4k_0 - 2(p_1 + q_1 + r_1). \tag{5.3}$$

Also it follows from $4k_1 + 2p_1 = 4k_2 + 2q_1 = 4k_3 + 2r_1 = |Y|/4$ that $p_1 \equiv q_1 \equiv r_1 \mod 2$. Furthermore, $|Y| = 4s_1 + v_0 = 4v_0 + 16k_0 - 8(p_1 + q_1 + r_1)$ by Eqn (5.3). So $4k_1 + 2p_1 = |Y|/4$ yields that

$$k_1 = \frac{v_0}{4} + k_0 - p_1 - \frac{q_1 + r_1}{2} \ge 0.$$

Similarly, $k_2 = v_0/4 + k_0 - q_1 - (p_1 + r_1)/2 \ge 0$, and $k_3 = v_0/4 + k_0 - r_1 - (p_1 + q_1)/2 \ge 0$. So (ii) holds.

On the other hand, if (i) holds, then clearly there is a G-perfect nonlinear function from X to $\mathbb{F}_2$ by Lemma 5.7. Now assume that (ii) holds. Let $k_1 = v_0/4 + k_0 - p_1 - (q_1 + r_1)/2$, $k_2 = v_0/4 + k_0 - q_1 - (p_1 + r_1)/2$, and $k_3 = v_0/4 + k_0 - r_1 - (p_1 + q_1)/2$. Then k_1, k_2, and k_3 are nonnegative integers, and

$$k_0 + k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = \frac{3v_0}{4} + 4k_0 - 2(p_1 + q_1 + r_1) \le s.$$

Let $f : X \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a function that satisfies the following conditions: $\mu_\alpha = p_1$, $\mu_\beta = q_1$, $\mu_\gamma = r_1$; among the subsets $X_1, \ldots, X_{s_1}$, where $s_1 := k_0+k_1+k_2+k_3$, f is constant on k_0 subsets and ψ_i-split on k_i subsets, $1 \le i \le 3$, and $\left|f^{-1}(1) \cap X_j\right| = 1$ or 3 for $s_1 < j \le s$. Let $Y = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_{s_1}$, where $X_0 = X \setminus (X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_s)$. Then by Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 3.4, it is easy to show that the restriction of f to Y is G-perfect nonlinear. Since the restriction of f to each X_j is G-perfect nonlinear, $s_1 < j \le s$, f is a G-perfect nonlinear function from X to $\mathbb{F}_2$ by Lemma 5.7. □

6 Bent functions on actions of Klein group

In this section we study G-bent functions for the Klein group G. Our main result is Theorem 6.2 below. We will prove this theorem by constructing the desired G-bent functions. Note that if there is a G-perfect nonlinear function from X to a finite abelian group, then there exists a G-bent function on X by Theorem 3.4. However, in this section we will construct the G-bent functions directly. These functions cannot be obtained from the G-perfect nonlinear functions constructed in the previous section.

Notation 6.1. Let G be the Klein four group acting on a finite set X with orbits

$$X_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, X_{\alpha,p}, \quad X_{\beta,1}, \ldots, X_{\beta,q}, \quad X_{\gamma,1}, \ldots, X_{\gamma,r}$$

such that the length of each orbit is 2, α fixes every point in $X_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, X_{\alpha,p}$, β fixes every point in $X_{\beta,1}, \ldots, X_{\beta,q}$, and γ fixes every point in $X_{\gamma,1}, \ldots, X_{\gamma,r}$. Also let $X_{\alpha,i} = \{x_i, y_i\}$, $1 \le i \le p$, $X_{\beta,i} = \{x_{p+i}, y_{p+i}\}$, $1 \le i \le q$, and $X_{\gamma,i} = \{x_{p+q+i}, y_{p+q+i}\}$, $1 \le i \le r$. However, we do not assume that p, q, r are all nonzero.

Theorem 6.2. *With the notation in Notation 6.1, there exist (infinitely many) bent functions on X if and only if*

$$p+q+r \le 4\min\{p,q,r\}. \tag{6.1}$$

In order to prove Theorem 6.2, we need a few lemmas first. In the following we always assume that G is the Klein four group whose dual group $\widehat{G}$ is given by Table 5.1. With the notation in Notation 6.1, we can choose a normalized G-dual set $\widehat{X}$ of X such that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_{\psi_0} &:= \{\lambda_{11},\ldots,\lambda_{1p},\lambda_{21},\ldots,\lambda_{2q},\lambda_{31},\ldots,\lambda_{3r}\},\\ \widehat{X}_{\psi_1} &:= \{\eta_{11},\ldots,\eta_{1p}\}, \widehat{X}_{\psi_2} := \{\eta_{21},\ldots,\eta_{2q}\}, \widehat{X}_{\psi_3} := \{\eta_{31},\ldots,\eta_{3r}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where λ_{ij} and η_{ij} are defined as follows. The supports of λ_{1i} and η_{1i} are $X_{\alpha,i}$, $\lambda_{1i}(x_i)=\lambda_{1i}(y_i)=\sqrt{|X|/2}$, and $\eta_{1i}(x_i)=-\eta_{1i}(y_i)=\sqrt{|X|/2}$, $1\le i\le p$; the supports of λ_{2i} and η_{2i} are $X_{\beta,i}$, $\lambda_{2i}(x_{p+i})=\lambda_{2i}(y_{p+i})=\sqrt{|X|/2}$, and $\eta_{2i}(x_{p+i})=-\eta_{2i}(y_{p+i})=\sqrt{|X|/2}$, $1\le i\le q$; and the supports of λ_{3i} and η_{3i} are $X_{\gamma,i}$, $\lambda_{3i}(x_{p+q+i})=\lambda_{3i}(y_{p+q+i})=\sqrt{|X|/2}$, and $\eta_{3i}(x_{p+q+i})=-\eta_{3i}(y_{p+q+i})=\sqrt{|X|/2}$, $1\le i\le r$.

The next lemma generalizes [7, Example 6.3].

Lemma 6.3. *With the notation in Notation 6.1, if $|X|=6$ and $p=q=r=1$, then there are infinitely many G-bent functions on X.*

Proof. Let $f: X\to T$ be a function, and $c_i := f(x_i)$, $d_i := f(y_i)$, $1\le i\le 3$. Then by Eqn (6.2),

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_0}} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\right|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|\sum_{x\in X} f(x)\lambda_{i1}(x)\right|^2 = 3\sum_{i=1}^{3}|c_i+d_i|^2 = 18+3\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\overline{c_i}d_i+c_i\overline{d_i}), \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$\sum_{\eta\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_1}} \left|\widehat{f}(\eta)\right|^2 = \left|\sum_{x\in X} f(x)\eta_{11}(x)\right|^2 = 3|c_1-d_1|^2 = 6-3(\overline{c_1}d_1+c_1\overline{d_1}). \tag{6.4}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\eta\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_2}} \left|\widehat{f}(\eta)\right|^2 = 6-3(\overline{c_2}d_2+c_2\overline{d_2}), \quad \sum_{\eta\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_3}} \left|\widehat{f}(\eta)\right|^2 = 6-3(\overline{c_3}d_3+c_3\overline{d_3}). \tag{6.5}$$

Now assume that f is G-bent. Then Eqn (6.4) and Eqn (6.5) imply that

$$\overline{c_1}d_1+c_1\overline{d_1} = \overline{c_2}d_2+c_2\overline{d_2} = \overline{c_3}d_3+c_3\overline{d_3}.$$

Thus, Eqn (6.3) and Eqn (6.4) imply that

$$18+9(\overline{c_1}d_1+c_1\overline{d_1}) = 6-3(\overline{c_1}d_1+c_1\overline{d_1}).$$

So $\overline{c_1}d_1+c_1\overline{d_1}=-1$, and hence $\overline{c_1}d_1=-1/2+b\sqrt{-1}$ for some real number b. Therefore, $|\overline{c_1}d_1|=1$ forces that $\overline{c_1}d_1=-1/2\pm\sqrt{-3}/2$, and $d_1=(-1/2\pm\sqrt{-3}/2)c_1$. Similarly, $d_2=(-1/2\pm\sqrt{-3}/2)c_2$, and $d_3=(-1/2\pm\sqrt{-3}/2)c_3$. On the other hand, assume that $d_1=(-1/2\pm\sqrt{-3}/2)c_1$, $d_2=(-1/2\pm\sqrt{-3}/2)c_2$, and $d_3=(-1/2\pm\sqrt{-3}/2)c_3$. Then it is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_0}} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\right|^2 = 9, \ \sum_{\eta\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_j}} \left|\widehat{f}(\eta)\right|^2 = 9, \quad 1\le j\le 3.$$

So f is G-bent, and the lemma holds. □

Lemma 6.4. *With the notation in Notation 6.1, if $|X| = 8$ and p, q, r are all nonzero, then there are infinitely many G-bent functions on X.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p = 2$, $q = r = 1$. Let $f : X \to T$ be a function, and $c_i := f(x_i)$, $d_i := f(y_i)$, $1 \le i \le 4$. Then by Eqn (6.2),

$$\sum_{\lambda_{ij} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_0}} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda_{ij})\right|^2 = \sum_{i,j} \left|\sum_{x \in X} f(x)\lambda_{ij}(x)\right|^2 = 4\sum_{i=1}^{4} |c_i + d_i|^2 = 32 + 4\sum_{i=1}^{4} (\overline{c_i} d_i + c_i \overline{d_i}), \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$\sum_{\eta_{1i} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_1}} \left|\widehat{f}(\eta_{1i})\right|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left|\sum_{x \in X} f(x)\eta_{1i}(x)\right|^2 = 4\sum_{i=1}^{2} |c_i - d_i|^2 = 16 - 4\sum_{i=1}^{2} (\overline{c_i} d_i + c_i \overline{d_i}). \tag{6.7}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\eta_{2i} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_2}} \left|\widehat{f}(\eta_{2i})\right|^2 = 8 - 4(\overline{c_3} d_3 + c_3 \overline{d_3}), \quad \sum_{\eta_{3i} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_3}} \left|\widehat{f}(\eta_{3i})\right|^2 = 8 - 4(\overline{c_4} d_4 + c_4 \overline{d_4}). \tag{6.8}$$

Now assume that f is G-bent. Then Eqn (6.8) and Eqn (6.7) imply that

$$\overline{c_3} d_3 + c_3 \overline{d_3} = \overline{c_4} d_4 + c_4 \overline{d_4} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\overline{c_i} d_i + c_i \overline{d_i}) = 2 + \overline{c_3} d_3 + c_3 \overline{d_3}.$$

Thus, from Eqn (6.6) we see that

$$40 + 12(\overline{c_3} d_3 + c_3 \overline{d_3}) = 8 - 4(\overline{c_3} d_d + c_3 \overline{d_3}).$$

So $\overline{c_3} d_3 + c_3 \overline{d_3} = -2$, and hence $\overline{c_3} d_3 = -1 + b\sqrt{-1}$ for some real number b. Therefore, $|\overline{c_3} d_3| = 1$ forces that $\overline{c_3} d_3 = -1$, and $c_3 = -d_3$. Similarly, $c_4 = -d_4$. That is, we have proved that

$$\text{if } f \text{ is } G\text{-bent, then } f(x_3) = -f(y_3), \text{ and } f(x_4) = -f(y_4). \tag{6.9}$$

On the other hand, let f be a G-bent function such that $f(x_3) = -f(y_3)$ and $f(x_4) = -f(y_4)$. Furthermore, if $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ and $f(y_1) = -f(y_2)$, then from (6.6) – (6.8), it is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{\lambda_{ij} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_0}} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda_{ij})\right|^2 = 16, \sum_{\eta_{ji} \in \widehat{X}_{\psi_j}} \left|\widehat{f}(\eta_{ji})\right|^2 = 16, \quad 1 \le j \le 3.$$

Hence, f is a G-bent function. Similarly, f is also a G-bent function if $f(x_1) = f(y_1)$, $f(x_2) = -f(y_2)$ or $f(x_1) = -f(y_1)$, $f(x_2) = f(y_2)$. Therefore, there are infinitely many G-bent functions on X. □

The next lemma follows directly from Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 6.5. *Let G be a finite abelian group acting on a finite set X. Assume that X is the disjoint union of its G-stable subsets X_i, $1 \le i \le p$. If there exists a G-bent function on X_i for any $1 \le i \le p$, then there exists a G-bent function on X.*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. With the notation in Notation 6.1, without loss of generality, we may assume that $r \le p, q$. Then Eqn (6.1) is equivalent to $p+q \le 3r$.

Assume that there is a G-bent function $f : X \to T$. Let $c_i = f(x_i)$, and $d_i = f(y_i)$, $1 \le i \le p+q+r$. Then by Eqn (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda_{ij}\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_0}} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda_{ij})\right|^2 &= \sum_{i,j}\left|\sum_{x\in X} f(x)\lambda_{ij}(x)\right|^2 = (p+q+r)\sum_{i=1}^{p+q+r}|c_i+d_i|^2 \\ &= 2(p+q+r)^2 + (p+q+r)\sum_{i=1}^{p+q+r}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}), \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\eta_{1i}\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_1}} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda_{1i})\right|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|\sum_{x\in X} f(x)\eta_{1i}(x)\right|^2 = (p+q+r)\sum_{i=1}^{p}|c_i-d_i|^2 \\ &= 2p(p+q+r) - (p+q+r)\sum_{i=1}^{p}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\eta_{2i}\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_2}} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda_{2i})\right|^2 = 2q(p+q+r) - (p+q+r)\sum_{i=p+1}^{p+q}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}), \tag{6.12}$$

and

$$\sum_{\eta_{3i}\in\widehat{X}_{\psi_3}} \left|\widehat{f}(\lambda_{3i})\right|^2 = 2r(p+q+r) - (p+q+r)\sum_{i=p+q+1}^{p+q+r}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}). \tag{6.13}$$

Since f is G-bent, it follows from (3.2) and (6.11) – (6.13) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}) = 2(p-r) + \sum_{i=p+q+1}^{p+q+r}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}), \quad \sum_{i=p+1}^{p+q}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}) = 2(q-r) + \sum_{i=p+q+1}^{p+q+r}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}).$$

Thus, Eqn (6.10) and Eqn (6.13) imply that

$$2(p+q+r) + 2(p-r) + 2(q-r) + 3\sum_{i=p+q+1}^{p+q+r}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}) = 2r - \sum_{i=p+q+1}^{p+q+r}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}).$$

Hence,

$$p+q-r = -\sum_{i=p+q+1}^{p+q+r}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i}) = \left|\sum_{i=p+q+1}^{p+q+r}(\overline{c_i}d_i + c_i\overline{d_i})\right| \le 2r.$$

So Eqn (6.1) holds.

On the other hand, assume that Eqn (6.1) holds. Then by our assumption at the beginning of the proof, $r \le p, q$ and $p+q \le 3r$. Let $A = \{X_{\alpha,i} : 1 \le i \le p\}$, $B = \{X_{\beta,i} : 1 \le i \le q\}$, and $C = \{X_{\gamma,i} : 1 \le i \le r\}$. Since $r \le p, q$ and $p+q \le 3r$, the orbits of G can be divided into r families as follows. Each family consists of three or four orbits, with one orbit from each of A, B, and C, and possibly one more orbit from either A or B if the family consists of four orbits. Since there exist infinitely many G-bent functions on these families by Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4, there exists infinitely many G-bent functions on X by Lemma 6.5. □

Acknowledgments

Part of this work was done when the first author was visiting the second author at Eastern Kentucky University in 2014. The first author is grateful for the hospitality. The first author is supported by NSFC through the grant number 11271005.

References

[1] J. L. Alperin, R. B. Bell, Groups and Representations, GTM 162, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[2] K. T. Arasu, C. Ding, T. Helleseth, P.V. Kumar, H. Martinsen: Almost difference sets and their sequences with optimal autocorrelations. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **47(7)**(2001), 2934 – 2943.

[3] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, Design Theory, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[4] C. Carlet and C. Ding, Highly Nonlinear Mappings, J. Complexity **20**(2004), 205 – 244.

[5] J. F. Dillon, Elementary Hadamard Difference Sets, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Maryland, 1974.

[6] J. A. Davis and L. Poinsot, P-perfect nonlinear functions, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **46** (2008), 83 – 96.

[7] Y. Fan and B. Xu, Fourier transforms and bent functions on faithful actions of finite abelian groups, Des. Codes Cryptogr. (2016), DOI: 10.1007/s10623-016-0177-8.

[8] B. Huppert, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1998.

[9] O. A. Logachev, A. A. Salnikov, and V. V. Yashchenko, Bent functions over a finite abelian group, Discrete Math. Appl. **7**(1997), 547 – 564.

[10] L. Poinsot and S. Harari, Group actions based perfect nonlinearity, GESTS Int. Trans. Comput. Sci. Eng. **12**(2005), 1 – 14.

[11] L. Poinsot, Bent functions on a finite nonabelian group, J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr. **9**(2006), 349 – 364.

[12] L. Poinsot, A new characterization of group action-based perfect nonlinearity, Discrete Appl. Math. **157**(2009), 1848 – 1857.

[13] L. Poinsot and A. Pott, Non-boolean almost perfect nonlinear functions on non-abelian groups, Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci. **22**(2011), 1351 – 1367.

[14] A. Pott, Nonlinear functions in abelian groups and relative diference sets, in: Optimal Discrete Structures and Algorithms, ODSA 2000, Discrete Appl. Math. **138**(2004), 177 – 193.

[15] J.-P. Serre, Representations of Finite Groups, GTM, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[16] V. I. Solodovnikov, Bent functions from a finite abelian group to a finite abelian group, Diskret. Mat. **14**(2002), 99 – 113.

[17] B. Xu, Multidimensional Fourier transforms and nonlinear functions on finite groups, Linear Algebra Appl. 452 (2014), 89 – 105.

[18] B. Xu, Bentless and nonlinearity of functions on finite groups, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 76 (2015), 409 – 430.

[19] B. Xu, Dual bent functions on finite groups and C-algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220 (2016), 1055 – 1073.